

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/553,073 | BIRKETT ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Sheridan R. MacAuley | 1651 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Sheridan R. MacAuley.

(3) _____

(2) Richard E. Fictor (Reg. No. 26,382).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 December 2007

Time: 11:00 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejections under 35 USC 102 and 103

Claims discussed:

7-15

Prior art documents discussed:

References cited on PTO-892 mailed on June 13, 2007

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

SRM requested approval from applicant for amendments to claims to place the application in condition for allowance. REF gave approval for the amendments discussed.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

Sheridan MacAuley

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)